



NATIONAL OCEANS OFFICE



National Work Program (Torres Strait)

**Torres Strait Seabed & Water-Column
Data Collation, Bio-physical Modeling
and Characterization**

Project Number: NOOC2003/010

**Roland Pitcher, Scott Condie, Nick Ellis, Ian McLeod,
Mick Haywood, Scott Gordon, Tim Skewes, Jeff Dunn,
Darren Dennis, Liz Cotterell, Malcolm Austin, Bill
Venables, Tom Taranto**



CSIRO

MARINE RESEARCH

Final Report

Published August 2004

National Library of Australia Cataloguing-in-Publication data:

Torres Strait Seabed & Water-Column Data Collation, Bio-physical Modeling and Characterization

Bibliography.

ISBN 0 XXX XXXXX X.

1. Subject keywords A.....

2. Subject keywords B.....

I. Roland Pitcher. II. CSIRO Marine
Research. III. National Oceans Office
(Australia).

xxx.xxxxxxxx

August 2004

Torres Strait Seabed & Water-Column Data Collation, Bio-physical Modeling and Characterization

Project Number: NOOC2003/010

**Roland Pitcher, Scott Condie, Nick Ellis, Ian McLeod,
Mick Haywood, Scott Gordon, Tim Skewes, Jeff Dunn,
Darren Dennis, Liz Cotterell, Malcolm Austin,
Bill Venables, Tom Taranto,**

**CSIRO Marine Research
Castray Esplanade
(GPO Box 1538)
HOBART, Tas. 7001
Australia**



ISBN 0 XXX XXXXX X.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This Project was supported by funding from the National Oceans Office and CSIRO Marine Research. Data for the Project was provided by CSIRO Marine Research, GeoScience Australia, Ocean Sciences Institute (Sydney University), James Cook University / CRC-Reef, and SeaWiFS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
FIGURES	iv
TABLES	viii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	x
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1. Background	1-1
1.2. Need.....	1-1
1.3. Objectives.....	1-2
2. METHODS & RESULTS	2-2
2.1. Collation of Available Datasets.....	2-3
2.1.1. Datasets Collated.....	2-3
2.2. Oceanographic Data	2-5
2.2.1. Tides and currents	2-5
2.2.2. Hydrographic conditions.....	2-6
2.2.3. Suspended sediments	2-12
2.2.4. Chlorophyll	2-12
2.3. Physical Covariate Data	2-14
2.3.1. Data Processing.....	2-14
2.3.2. Maps of Physical Covariate Data	2-14
2.4. Biological Survey Data.....	2-27
2.4.1. Data Processing.....	2-27
2.4.2. Maps of Biological Survey Data	2-28
2.5. Acoustic Survey Data.....	2-36
2.5.1. Data Processing.....	2-36
2.5.2. Classification of Acoustics Data	2-39
2.6. Spatial auto-correlation	2-45
2.6.1. Physical Co-Variates	2-45
2.6.2. Biological Survey Data	2-45
2.7. Statistical Characterisation & Bio-Physical Modeling	2-48
2.7.1. ‘Low-level’ bio-survey dataset.....	2-49
2.7.2. ‘Medium-level’ bio-survey dataset	2-61
2.7.3. Seabed Fish Dataset	2-66
2.8. Stratification and sampling design	2-71
2.8.1. Stratification.....	2-71
2.8.2. Sample Site Selection.....	2-90
2.8.3. Mapping the Physical Characterization.....	2-91
3. DISCUSSION	2-94
3.1. State of Knowledge	2-94
3.1.1. Oceanographic Data	2-94
3.1.2. Physical seabed data.....	2-95
3.1.3. Biological seabed data.....	2-95
3.2. Knowledge GAPS	2-96
3.2.1. Oceanographic Data	2-96
3.2.2. Physical seabed data.....	2-97
3.2.3. Biological seabed data.....	2-98
3.3. Key information needs	2-99
3.3.1. Oceanographic Data	2-99
3.3.2. Physical seabed data.....	2-99
3.3.3. Biological seabed data.....	2-100
4. REFERENCES.....	4-101
5. PROJECT STAFF	5-103

FIGURES

- Figure 2.0-1 Map of Torres Strait showing the spatial scope of the Project, including all continental shelf marine seabeds and water column within the Torres Strait Protected Zone (corresponding to the scope of the CRC proposal) and relevant adjacent waters (extent indicated by map). 2-3
- Figure 2.2-1 Distribution of hydrographic casts used to derive the CARS maps. The colours indicate the day of the year that individual casts were taken and thereby provide an indication of potential seasonal biases. 2-7
- Figure 2.2-2 Seasonal trends in near-surface temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), salinity (PSU), dissolved oxygen (ml l^{-1}) (left) and nutrients (ml l^{-1}) (right) in central northern Torres Strait (142.7°E , 9.7°S) from CARS. Monthly averaged chlorophyll estimates based on SeaWiFS at the same location is also shown (mg m^{-3}) (bottom centre)..... 2-8
- Figure 2.2-3 Seasonal maps of near-surface temperature (top), salinity (center), and dissolved oxygen (bottom) for March (left) and September (right) from CARS..... 2-9
- Figure 2.2-4 Seasonal maps of near-surface nitrate (top), phosphate (center), and silicate (bottom) for March (left) and September (right) from CARS. There are large uncertainties associated with nutrient distributions along the PNG coast and within Torres Strait due to the poor data coverage (Figure 2.2-1). 2-10
- Figure 2.2-5 Measurements of temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and nitrate from the Boobie Island Coastal Station (o), compared to the seasonal trend averaged across years using the same dataset (---), and CARS estimates for the same site (---). Note that the CARS analysis includes the Boobie Island data. 2-11
- Figure 2.2-6 An example of chlorophyll distribution in Torres Strait derived from SeaWiFS ocean colour data (31 Mar 2000). The white patches over water correspond to unreliable data that has been excluded. For instance, the solid patches near the centre of the strait correspond to shallow water ($< 15 \text{ m}$) where the bottom is likely to contribute to the signal, while the more diffuse patches indicate cloud cover. Despite this filtering major reefs, such as the northern Great Barrier Reef and Warrior Reefs, still appear as pseudo high chlorophyll patches. 2-12
- Figure 2.2-7 Seasonally averaged chlorophyll estimates derived from SeaWiFS ocean colour data for March, June, September, and December (mg m^{-3}). The black patches over water indicate that no reliable data was received for that location. 2-13
- Figure 2.3-1 DEM of the bathymetry (m) of Torres Strait, mapped onto a 0.01° grid, from various sources (see section 2.1.1)..... 2-15
- Figure 2.3-2 Map of distance (decimal degrees) to soundings, mapped onto a 0.01° grid, as an indication of bathymetric reliability and data gaps for Torres Strait..... 2-16
- Figure 2.3-3 Maps of sediment grain size attributes for Torres Strait: characteristic grain size, sorting, and percent mud/sand/gravel/rock fractions (source, see section 2.1.1). 2-17
- Figure 2.3-4 Map of distance (decimal degrees) to sample sites for sediment attributes, mapped onto a 0.01° grid, as an indication of reliability and data gaps for sediment attributes in Torres Strait. 2-18
- Figure 2.3-5 Maps of sediment carbonate composition, mean modeled seabed current stress, SeaWiFS predicted chlorophyll-a and standard deviation, and light absorption (attenuation coefficient) at 490 nm and standard deviation for Torres Strait (sources, see section 2.1.1). 2-19
- Figure 2.3-6 Map of distance (decimal degrees) to sample sites for sediment carbonate, mapped onto a 0.01° grid, as an indication of reliability and data gaps for this sediment attribute in Torres Strait. 2-20
- Figure 2.3-7 Maps of CARS bottom water physical attributes for Torres Strait: salinity (mean & SD), temperature (mean & SD), and dissolved oxygen (mean & SD), (source, see section 2.1.1)..... 2-22
- Figure 2.3-8 Maps of root-mean-square residual of CARS mapping, and distance (decimal degrees) to CTD casts for CARS attributes, mapped onto a 0.01° grid, as an indication of reliability and data gaps for physical water attributes at the seabed in Torres Strait. 2-23

Figure 2.3-9 Maps of CARS bottom water nutrient attributes for Torres Strait: silicate (mean & SD), phosphate (mean & SD), and nitrate (mean & SD), (source, see section 2.1.1).	2-24
Figure 2.3-10 Maps of root-mean-square residual of CARS mapping, and distance (decimal degrees) to CTD casts for CARS attributes, mapped onto a 0.01° grid, as an indication of reliability and data gaps for water nutrient attributes at the seabed in Torres Strait.	2-25
Figure 2.3-11 Maps of Torres Strait prawn trawling effort (boat-days per 6 min grid cell), average and standard deviation for years 1980-2002 (source, see section 2.1.1).....	2-26
Figure 2.4-1 Map of the location of all seabed biological survey sites included in the data collated for this project (sources, see section 2.1.1).....	2-28
Figure 2.4-2 Maps of substratum type and epibenthic gardens from biological survey sites: Substrate classification: 1= >50% hard substrate, 2= 10-50% hard substrate, 3= rubble (<10% hard & >15% rubble), 4= sand (muddy sand & sand), 5= mud (mud & sandy mud); Epibenthos classification: 1= dense fauna, 2= sparse fauna, 3= very sparse fauna, 4= no fauna	2-30
Figure 2.4-3 Maps of percent cover of epibenthos gardens and hard coral gardens of 500 m transects, from biological survey sites (sources, see section 2.1.1).	2-31
Figure 2.4-4 Maps of summary underway ‘tappity’ coding of seabed habitats and observed substratum composition, as a percentage of 500 m transects, from biological survey sites (sources, see section 2.1.1).	2-32
Figure 2.4-5 Maps of seagrass presence/absence and algal presence/absence from biological survey sites (sources, see section 2.1.1).	2-33
Figure 2.4-6 Maps of seagrass percent cover and algal percent cover of 500 m transects, from biological survey sites (sources, see section 2.1.1).	2-34
Figure 2.4-7 Map of central Torres Strait showing the sites sampled by the <i>FV Jacqueline-D</i> during the “Effects-of-Trawling” surveys of 1985-6 (sources, see section 2.1.1).....	2-35
Figure 2.4-8 Map of central Torres Strait showing the mean number of fish species sampled by the <i>FV Jacqueline-D</i> during the “Effects-of-Trawling” surveys of 1985-6	2-35
Figure 2.4-9 Map of central Torres Strait showing the mean catch rate (kg/ha) of fish bycatch sampled by the <i>FV Jacqueline-D</i> during the “Effects-of-Trawling” surveys of 1985-6 (sources, see section 2.1.1).	2-35
Figure 2.5-1 The vessel track for RoxAnn acoustic sampling in Torres Strait (samples used shown in yellow).	2-36
Figure 2.5-2 The geographic coverage for each of the four RoxAnn acoustic sampling cruises.	2-38
Figure 2.5-3: Schematic of Ground Truth classes and RoxAnn Acoustic predictor variables available (at the 0.01 degree grid scale), and used in the stratification analysis for each cruise.	2-39
Figure 2.5-4 Maps of acoustic index statistics available at the 0.01 degree grid scale.	2-40
Figure 2.5-5 Discriminant function classification error rate performance for Sub_Code (■) and Biocode (□), by cruise.	2-41
Figure 2.5-6 Mean discriminant function error rates by classification stratum class.	2-41
Figure 2.5-7 Maps of classified seabed substrate and biological strata for Torres Strait based on acoustic information.....	2-44
Figure 2.6-1 Semivariograms of the seabed physical data (percent of mud, sand, gravel and rock, degree of sorting, grain size, carbonate and depth) showing spatial autocorrelation between the survey sites, with distance (in decimal degrees).	2-46
Figure 2.6-2 Bray-Curtis-ogram of the ‘low-level’ dataset (Table 2.4-1A), showing spatial autocorrelation for biological survey sites.	2-47
Figure 2.6-3 Bray-Curtis-ogram of the ‘medium-level’ dataset (Table 2.4-1B), showing spatial autocorrelation for biological survey sites. Note different x-axis scale of Figure 2.6-2.	2-47
Figure 2.7-1. Mapping of predicted SUB_CODE over Torres Strait based on LDA functions applied to the gridded physical covariate dataset.....	2-51

- Figure 2.7-2. Mapping of predicted epibenthos BIO_CODE over Torres Strait based on LDA functions applied to the gridded physical covariate dataset. 2-53
- Figure 2.7-3. Mapping of predicted Algae P/A over Torres Strait based on LDA functions applied to the gridded physical covariate dataset. 2-55
- Figure 2.7-4. Mapping of predicted Seagrass P/A over Torres Strait based on LDA functions applied to the gridded physical covariate dataset. 2-57
- Figure 2.7-5. Mean and standard deviation of attributes for six K-means clusters of the ‘low-level’ habitat dataset: (a) seabed Sub_Code, (b) epibenthos Bio-Code, (c) Algae presence/absence, and (d) Seagrass presence/absence. 2-58
- Figure 2.7-6. Mapping of predicted habitat cluster membership over Torres Strait based on LDA functions applied to the gridded physical covariate dataset. Habitat clusters were characterized as follows: 2-60
- Figure 2.7-7. Map of Torres Strait showing the 421 sampling sites where records for all 8 “medium” level survey data were recorded. 2-61
- Figure 2.7-8. Histograms of the untransformed distributions of all “high” level survey data. 2-62
- Figure 2.7-9. Ordination of the first and second dimensions of a multi dimensional scaling of the Bray-Curtis Dissimilarities of the “high” level Torres Strait survey data. 2-63
- Figure 2.7-10. Box and whisker plots of distribution of the “high” level survey data among the 6 clusters. Median (dark blue closed circles), inter-quartile ranges (dark blue open boxes), 1.5 times the inter-quartile range (outer fences) except the outliers (light blue open circles). 2-64
- Figure 2.7-11. Mapping of predicted cluster membership (K-Means; 6 clusters) of the ‘medium’-level survey data for the 0.01 degree gridded physical environment data, using linear discriminant function analysis. The darker shaded mapping represents the predictions within the area where survey data was collected; the lighter shaded mapping is outside the survey area. 2-66
- Figure 2.7-12. Hierarchical agglomerative clustering (group-average linking) of the mean catch rates of fish bycatch from prawn trawls done in the eastern Torres Strait during 1984-5. Each site is labeled based on whether it was located within the commercial trawl grounds or an area closed to fishing. 2-67
- Figure 2.7-13. MDS ordination of the mean catch rates of fish bycatch from prawn trawl sampling conducted in the eastern Torres Strait during 1984-5. Each site is labelled based on whether it was located within the commercial trawl grounds (●) or an area closed to fishing (●). 2-67
- Figure 2.7-14. Mapping of predicted cluster membership (K-Means; 4 clusters) of the fish bycatch data for the 0.01 degree gridded physical environment data, using linear discriminant function analysis. The darker shaded mapping represents the predictions within the area where bycatch data was collected; the lighter shaded mapping is outside the survey area. 2-70
- Figure 2.8-1. Partitioning covariate space in two dimensions: (a) 1000 points randomly sampled from the square covariate space. (b) a partitioning into 20 clusters using PAM; (c) a preferred partitioning that accounts for the relative importance of the variables; (d) the partitioning in (c) is achieved using PAM on the scaled covariate space. 2-72
- Figure 2.8-2. Variable importance computed by (a) cross-validated trees and (b) random forests. 2-74
- Figure 2.8-3. Importance measures excluding reliability ($I_{\text{bio}Q}$), including reliability ($I_{\text{bio}QR}$), and including reliability, but tuned to match the shape without reliability ($I_{\text{bio}QR}^{0.6}$). Each version is normalized to sum to 1. The orders of the variables with and without reliability are different. 2-77
- Figure 2.8-4. (a) Bivariate normal distribution of 1000 points. (b) Partitioning into 20 clusters using PAM. Each cluster is labeled by the number of points in the cluster. The more populous clusters tend to be tighter and so more homogeneous. 2-81
- Figure 2.8-5. Density of bottom stress estimated by a gaussian kernel of width 0.018 calculated using biased cross-validation. Also shown is a ‘rug’ of values for 200 randomly selected sites. 2-82
- Figure 2.8-6. Number of subclusters vs supercluster size for 3 different values of the exponent a . The sloping line corresponds to $N_{\text{sub}} \propto S$, the curve to $N_{\text{sub}} \propto \sqrt{S}$, and the horizontal line to $N_{\text{sub}} = \text{constant}$. In the middle plot, four superclusters are labelled for later reference in the text, and superclusters denoted by a black dot are mapped in Figure 2.8-8. 2-82

- Figure 2.8-7. The 50 superclusters in geographical space. The clusters have been separated into nine panels in order to make them distinct. The largest cluster (27) is in the centre panel and the smallest (40) is in the bottom centre panel. Clusters 3 (top right) and 24 (centre left) have the largest number of subclusters (20). 2-83
- Figure 2.8-8. Six fairly compact superclusters in geographical space and the subclustering within them. The subcluster medoids are indicated by a red dot. 2-84
- Figure 2.8-9. Three fairly fragmented superclusters in geographical space and the subclustering within them. The subcluster medoids are indicated by a red dot. 2-85
- Figure 2.8-10. Medoids of the 440 strata. The bar labelled ‘average distance’ is the minimum spacing that would lie between 440 points if they were regularly spaced. The contour lines show the kernel density estimate of the medoids. The low, medium and high densities are, respectively, 0.66, 0.88 and 1.10 points per average distance squared. The 41,285 possible survey sites are indicated by the grey background. 2-86
- Figure 2.8-11. Distribution of the most important physical covariates on the subcluster medoids (black) and on the full Torres Strait data (orange). The thin curves are 90% confidence intervals for the density. For clarity we show covariates on a log scale for bottom stress, an inverse scale for K490 and a logit scale for mud. Also shown is a rug of the 440 medoid values (jittered around 0 for mud). 2-87
- Figure 2.8-12. Average kernel density estimate of the sample sites over 20 independent random samplings. The low, medium and high densities are, respectively, 0.66, 0.88 and 1.10 points per average site separation squared. The 41,285 possible survey sites are indicated by the grey background..... 2-88
- Figure 2.8-13. Local regression smooth of probability of site selection (blue line, right axis) with covariate density (orange line, left axis) for reference. Probabilities for 2000 randomly chosen points (independent of the stratification) are also shown. The dashed horizontal line is the average probability. For clarity we show covariates on a log scale for bottom stress, an inverse scale for K490 and a logit scale for mud. 2-89
- Figure 2.8-14 Map of the sites selected for sampling the seabed in Torres Strait, overlaid on a background of all cells included for possible selection (light blue). White areas were excluded as outside the study area or too shallow for navigation. ●: sites for benthic and trawl sampling, ●: sites for benthic sampling only. 2-91
- Figure 2.8-15 Map of the stratification of the Torres Strait seabed, with similar colours representing physically similar strata. *Inset right:* colour key from a bi-plot of the first and second principal components of the biologically weighted physical data. Contours (blue lines) of a kernel density estimate of all 41285 scores is also shown at levels 1, 5, 10, 50 and 100. The convex hull of these scores is shown by a thin black line. Loadings of important variables are denoted by black arrows and are labelled. The less important variables (those with smaller loadings) are denoted by small labels. These two components explain 73% of the variance. In the background is the colour key, which is a mathematically distorted colour disk mapped to the interior of the outermost contour using conformal mapping. Red has been chosen to align with high bottom stress and green with high K490 and chlorophyll A. average. Cyan aligns with mud. Common cells close to the mode of the density function have less saturated colours (grey)...... 2-93
- Figure 3.2-1 Map of overall gaps averaged across all seabed physical covariates, weighted by covariate importance (section 2.8.1.2). That is, the distance-to-data of more the important covariates has greater influence in the calculation of the average for each point. 2-98

TABLES

Table 2.4-1. Coverage of information common across the widest spatial coverage of Project and Cruises. (A) Widest coverage/simplest data, (B) slightly narrower coverage/more information (see section 2.1.1 for definitions of these fields). Scale: S = Small, M = Medium and L = Large.....	2-27
Table 2.5-1 Total RoxAnn samples collected.	2-37
Table 2.5-2 Cross-validated classification error rates by cruise (Note - expressed as % incorrectly classified).	2-39
Table 2.5-3 Detailed biological classification table. Shows average proportion of data classified from one strata into another across all cruises, and highlights whether data was miss-classified (reading % totals across table rows) or falsely classified (reading % totals down table columns).	2-42
Table 2.5-4 Detailed substrate classification table. Shows average proportion of data classified from one strata into another across all cruises, and highlights whether data was miss-classified (reading % totals across table rows) or falsely classified (reading % totals down table columns).	2-42
Table 2.7-1. Between groups F-matrix for the physical covariate data associated with the SUB_CODE categories at sites (df = 9, 836). Small F-values indicate that the covariates of respective categories are more similar; large values indicate that the covariates of categories are more different.	2-49
Table 2.7-2. F-values for physical covariates assessed by LDA for SUB_CODE. Increasing F-values indicate covariates that were more important for discriminating SUB_CODE categories. Covariates in the left columns were included in the final model; those on the right were excluded.	2-50
Table 2.7-3. Jackknifed classification matrix for discriminating SUB_CODE categories on physical covariates. Cases in row categories classified into columns. % correct shows number of cases in each row classified into the correct column. Diagnostic statistics: Wilks' lambda=0.439, approx.F=21.421, df=36, 3134.	2-50
Table 2.7-4. Between groups F-matrix for the physical covariate data associated with the BIO_CODE categories at sites (df = 6, 840). Small F-values indicate that the covariates of respective categories are more similar; large values indicate that the covariates of categories are more different.	2-52
Table 2.7-5. F-values for physical covariates assessed by LDA for BIO_CODE. Increasing F-values indicate covariates that were more important for discriminating BIO_CODE categories. Covariates in the left columns were included in the final model; those on the right were excluded.	2-52
Table 2.7-6. Jackknifed classification matrix for discriminating BIO_CODE categories on physical covariates. Cases in row categories classified into columns. % correct shows number of cases in each row classified into the correct column. Diagnostic statistics: Wilks' lambda=0.670, approx.F= 20.114, df= 18, 2376.	2-53
Table 2.7-7. F-values for physical covariates assessed by LDA for ALGAE_PA. Increasing F-values indicate covariates that were more important for discriminating ALGAE_PA categories. Covariates in the left columns were included in the final model; those on the right were excluded.	2-54
Table 2.7-8. Jackknifed classification matrix for discriminating ALGAE_PA categories on physical covariates. Cases in row categories classified into columns. % correct shows number of cases in each row classified into the correct column. Diagnostic statistics: Wilks' lambda=0.881, approx.F= 22.847, df= 5, 843.	2-54

Table 2.7-9. F-values for physical covariates assessed by LDA for SEAGRASS_PA. Increasing F-values indicate covariates that were more important for discriminating SEAGRASS_PA categories. Covariates in the left columns were included in the final model; those on the right were excluded.	2-56
Table 2.7-10. Jackknifed classification matrix for discriminating SEAGRASS_PA categories on physical covariates. Cases in row categories classified into columns. % correct shows number of cases in each row classified into the correct column. Diagnostic statistics: Wilks' lambda=0.833, approx.F= 28.132, df= 6, 842.....	2-56
Table 2.7-11. Between groups F-matrix for the physical covariate data associated with the habitat cluster types at sites (df = 19, 853). Small F-values indicate that the covariates of respective categories are more similar; large values indicate that the covariates of categories are more different.....	2-59
Table 2.7-12. F-values for physical covariates assessed by LDA for habitat cluster types. Increasing F-values indicate covariates that were more important for discriminating cluster types. Covariates in the left columns were included in the final model; those on the right were excluded.	2-59
Table 2.7-13. Jackknifed classification matrix for discriminating habitat cluster types on physical covariates. Cases in row categories classified into columns. % correct shows number of cases in each row classified into the correct column. Diagnostic statistics: Wilks' lambda=0.570, approx.F=17.414, df=30, 3466.....	2-60
Table 2.7-14. Cluster diagnostics for K-Means clustering of the “high” level data.....	2-63
Table 2.7-15. LDA statistics of co-variates included in the discriminant functions of the “medium” level survey data.....	2-65
Table 2.7-16. Cross-validation summary for the performance of the discriminant functions in assigning survey sites to clusters. The number in the top of each cell is the number of sites; the number in the bottom is the percent of the total number of observations for that cluster. The shaded diagonal cells show the numbers of sites that were correctly assigned to each cluster (columns, from rows).	2-65
Table 2.7-17. Mean catch rates of the 10 most abundant (biomass) fish in each cluster caught in the bycatch study in the central Torres Strait during the mid-1980s.	2-68
Table 2.7-18. LDA statistics of co-variates included in the discriminant functions of the fish bycatch data.	2-69
Table 2.7-19. Cross-validation summary for the performance of the discriminant functions in assigning bycatch sites to clusters. The number in the top of each cell is the number of sites; the number in the bottom is the percent of the total number of observations for that cluster. The shaded diagonal cells show the numbers of sites that were correctly assigned to each cluster (columns, from rows).	2-70
Table 2.8-1. Calculation of adjusted importance I_{adj} : d_{err} is error distance in degrees, I_{bio} is the random forests biotic importance, reliability is $R = (d_{err})^{-1/2}$, and $I_{adj} = (I_{bio}QR)^{0.6}$	2-75
Table 2.8-2. Variable loadings for the first 7 principal components. Absolute loadings greater than 0.5 are highlighted in yellow, and absolute loadings between 0.3 and 0.5 are highlighted in green. The variables are ordered by adjusted importance. Relative variance is the fraction of the total variance explained by the principal component.	2-78

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The main aims of this project were to compile and assess the current state of knowledge of the broad-scale seabed and water-column ecosystem of Torres Strait, provide a preliminary characterisation of the region for use by the National Oceans Office (NOO), and design a sampling strategy for the Ecosystem Mapping Task of the Cooperative Research Centre for the Torres Strait. The project successfully collated and assessed relevant seabed & water-column data-sets, examined relationships between the biological and physical data, developed a bio-physical stratification of the seabed for the Torres Strait region, and completed a sampling design for the mapping project in time for the first field survey in January 2003. The major beneficiaries of the information include the National Oceans Office (NOO) and the Torres Strait people, and the Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) and the Torres Strait fishing industries. Funding was provided by the NOO and CSIRO.

Significant information on the physical environment was available from existing data. The Project collated 17 major datasets of physical and biological data for the region. Available relevant information included: physical environment (bathymetry, sediment grain-size and composition, water attributes & chemistry, ocean colour); basic seabed habitats; seagrass and algae; and some trawl samples. After checking quality and redundancy among sources, 32 physical variables were identified and mapped as potentially useful for modelling & stratification. A 0.01 degree resolution (~1.1 km) grid was established for analyses and sampling design, and the physical variables were re-sampled to this grid and mapped (interpolated where required), to provide a consistent set of full-coverage covariates at ~45,000 grid cells for the Project. The biological data was sourced from multiple legacy projects, each with different objectives, and was reconciled to useable common-denominator formats.

The broad-scale physical factors important in structuring patterns in the biological data were identified. Seabed current stress was the most important variable, and others included: chlorophyll, turbidity, oxygen, salinity, nutrients, sediment grain size, and depth. These bio-physical relationships were used to predict and map the categorical biological data to the whole Torres Strait region, with an estimate of the uncertainty. The Torres Strait region was characterised by weighting each physical covariate by its biological importance, then grouping the 0.01° grid cells into strata that had similar physical attributes. The stratification was mapped and represents an interim surrogate characterisation of Torres Strait. Sites for future sampling by the CRC-TS Mapping Task were selected from the bio-physical strata to provide representative coverage of the Torres Strait environment.

The Project described the current state of knowledge of the physical marine environment and the seabed habitats and biota, identified the major knowledge gaps and the key information needs for regional marine planning and ecosystem-based management. These are summarized here.

Torres Strait is a shallow area of continental shelf with complex topography comprising numerous reefs and islands; the eastern area includes deeper water but is more complex; the northwestern area is very shallow limiting navigation. For navigation reasons, the bathymetry of the main shipping channels is well known, but much of the region has not been surveyed and is poorly known. Consistent coverage of bathymetric data over Torres Strait, at resolution sufficient for navigation purposes, is required for reliable circulation modelling and bio-physical mapping.

Tides and currents dominate the physical oceanography of Torres Strait, with strong tidal currents in channels between reefs. Quantitative knowledge of tides and currents is largely from the output of models, as there are few tidal and current monitoring stations. The tides and currents in most of Torres

Strait have not been measured, leading to model uncertainty and lack of knowledge of the broader circulation, dispersion and connectivity. Additional tidal and current monitoring stations are needed at key locations and periods across Torres Strait to provide data to validate circulation models.

Limited knowledge of basic hydrographic conditions indicates that: water temperature peaks broadly over the summer and during winter, shallow areas are cooler; salinities fall during the monsoon season, when a low salinity feature occurs along the PNG coastline, and increase again during the dry season; strong tidal mixing generally prevents vertical stratification and oxygen levels are relatively high in the well-mixed water; nutrients may increase during the monsoon and decrease during the trade wind season, consistent with riverine inputs; and limited time-series data indicate inter-annual variability in the monsoonal and trade-wind influences. The coverage of basic hydrographic data is extremely sparse, both spatially and temporally, and there is a critical need for additional moorings to be deployed at key locations, to provide knowledge of interannual variability and environmental change and to develop any understanding of productivity processes in Torres Strait.

Sediments become suspended by the strong spring tide cycles and wind stress, particularly in shallow areas and near rivers, causing local areas of high turbidity. Turbidity has been estimated from the SeaWiFS satellite data but is confounded in shallow areas like Torres Strait. Very few direct measurements have been made and knowledge of their tidal and seasonal patterns is inadequate. Measurements of suspended sediments are required at key locations and spanning spring-neap tidal and seasonal cycles.

There is little knowledge of biogeochemical cycles in Torres Strait, or of the role of suspended sediments in those processes, and studies *de novo* are required.

The phytoplankton in Torres Strait is not known from any direct measurements and estimates based on satellite ocean colour are confounded by turbidity and shallow water. Nevertheless, indications are that chlorophyll levels are higher during the monsoon season and decrease towards winter. The primary productivity processes and plankton community structure in Torres Strait are unknown, and again studies *de novo* are required.

The seabed sediments of Torres Strait cover the full range from fine terrestrial muds near rivers to coarse carbonate sands and gravels among coral reefs further from land. Over that pattern, the strong tidal currents scour fine sediments from narrow channels, leaving coarse gravels and rocks, and deposit them in calmer areas. The currents also create and move dunes of sand. However, the sediment grain size attributes of most of Torres Strait have been sampled only patchily and there are extensive gaps in east/southeastern and northwestern Torres Strait. Sediment types typically showed little similarity over distances of more than 5 km, perhaps 10 km maximum, providing a criterion (spatial autocorrelation) for assessing that the existing coverages were inadequate for significant parts of the region. Adequate fine-scale sampling of sediment grain size and composition is required for understanding sediment processes and biogeochemical cycles, and as surrogates for biological assemblage prediction. Sediment organic content is almost unknown, but would also contribute to these knowledge needs. Acoustic data from several vessel tracks was shown to be a useful surrogate for seabed substratum and can provide continuous along-track coverage between actual sediment samples.

Prawn trawling is largely confined to a relatively narrow strip in central eastern Torres Strait and extremely intense effort was aggregated into an area of about 200 km². The trawl logbook data

coverage is quite complete; however, the resolution is coarse compared with actual trawling activities. Fine-scale trawl effort data needs to be acquired from Vessel Monitoring Systems installed on Torres Strait trawlers to provide effort data at a resolution needed to assess and manage the environmental sustainability of trawling.

The basic seabed habitats of Torres Strait (substratum type, megabenthos gardens, presence of algae and seagrass) are relatively well known compared with many other areas of seabed within Australia. The region has significant large areas of structured habitat and benthic gardens, as well as extensive seagrass beds and algae. From this knowledge, it has been observed that seabed current stress appears to be very important in structuring habitat patterns, as are sediment attributes. Moving sediments may smother benthic habitat and expose bare substratum for colonisation. Sediment dynamics may also be involved in seagrass diebacks in north west Torres Strait, though the causes are unknown.

The broad habitat characterisation is known for about two-thirds of the region, at a resolution comparable with the spatial autocorrelation distance for habitat similarity (ie. ~10 km). Unknown areas include extensive areas of north-eastern and western Torres Strait. While, this broad information has been useful, it is inadequate to properly characterize biodiversity assemblage, to develop bio-physical models and for quantitative management applications. For these purposes, species biomass data are required but are largely unavailable in Torres Strait. Where more detailed biological information is available for some biota, the spatial coverage is very limited. Thus, a broad spectrum of seabed species need to be sampled in Torres Strait, accurately identified, quantified and mapped. Careful species identification is essential because Torres Strait is a biogeographic boundary due to past periodic separation of east & west faunas — an important concern for regional marine planning.

There is also very little knowledge of assemblage dynamics or of ecosystem processes in Torres Strait. Primary productivity, whether benthic or planktonic, has not been studied in Torres Strait nor have secondary productivity and higher trophic relationships, other inter-species inter-actions, or coupling between the benthic and pelagic ecosystems. This kind of ecosystem-level knowledge is required in order to progress towards ecosystem-based management of multiple uses of the Torres Strait marine environment, and needs to be synthesized by dynamic modeling approaches such as Management Strategy Evaluation.

The Torres Strait CRC program will address a number of these data issues at varying levels of detail. Field measurements to be made by the “Bio-Physical” and “Seabed Mapping” Tasks will provide additional hydrographic data and develop hydrodynamic modelling. Data on seabed and suspended sediments will be collected and sediment transport will be modelled; the issue of seagrass dieback will also be examined. Bathymetric data will be collected by research vessels. Data on seabed habitats will be recorded and a broad range of seabed assemblage species will be sampled, identified, quantified and mapped. However, the mapping will not be able to cover the entire region, and the program does not currently include studies of biogeochemical cycles or of biological ecosystem processes.

This Project has provided an essential foundation for several CRC-TS Tasks that will address priority issues related to assessment of the effects of trawling, development of trawl sustainability risk indicators, seagrass dieback, bioregionalisation for marine planning, and multiple-use management. The preliminary characterisation of the Torres Strait region provided by the project will support the planning needs of NOO and other management agencies, in the interim before the results of TS-CRC Tasks become available.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. BACKGROUND

There has been an urgent need to complete preparatory work prior to the start of the Torres Strait CRC in July 2003, so that fieldwork for the Task Ecosystem Characterisation may proceed in the required timeframe. This project aimed to collate and assess relevant seabed & water-column data, examine bio-physical relationships, develop a stratification for the Torres Strait and to design a seabed & water column sampling strategy for the TS CRC ecosystem survey task. Other benefits include assessment of the current state of knowledge relevant to this task and provision of a preliminary characterisation of the region for use by the National Oceans Office (NOO). These fundamental datasets and analyses will support present and future research and monitoring needs, conservation planning, and management — ultimately contributing to the preservation of the unique values of this region.

Available relevant information included: physical environment (bathymetry, sediments, water attributes & chemistry, ocean colour); seabed habitats; seagrass; and limited trawl samples. The approach has been to collate & integrate seabed & water-column data from disparate sources to common useable formats, identify any broad-scale physical factors important in structuring patterns in the biological data, characterise and stratify the Torres Strait region based on extension of the bio-physical relationships to the whole region. A cost-effective & optimised sampling strategy needs to be designed to representatively sample the identified bio-physical strata. Information gaps need to be identified in relation to data type, bio-physical strata, prediction uncertainty – as well as spatial grid coverage. Outputs in the form of digital GIS layers will be provided to the NOO.

This Project has assessed the state of knowledge of seabed habitats, seagrasses, benthic biodiversity, and the water-column in the complex ecosystem of the Torres Strait; provided interim spatial characterisation information for management and planning needs until more complete information is available (including issues related to anthropogenic impact in seabed ecosystems eg. trawling); and design future research surveys to optimally & cost-effectively address gaps in the current knowledge. The broad-scale objectives of this Project have been met by specialists from multiple disciplines and experienced Torres Strait researchers, based on CSIRO Marine Research's (CMR) significant Torres Strait data holdings and access to other relevant datasets. The approach is relevant to the national objectives of NOO as outlined by Australia's Oceans Policy.

1.2. NEED

This Project was required to provide an essential foundation for TS-CRC Tasks that will address issues related to seagrass dieback, assessment of the effects of trawling, development of trawl sustainability risk indicators, bioregionalisation for marine planning, and multiple-use management. These issues were identified as priorities at several client and stakeholder forums. The NOO and CMR identified the outputs of this Project as a pre-requisite for the CRC-TS Task Ecosystem Characterisation to proceed from July 2003. Another need to be provided by the Project is an interim characterisation of the Torres Strait region to support the planning processes of NOO and other management agencies, before the results of TS-CRC Tasks become available.